

PRESENTER



Julian Long, Lee Salmon Long, Auckland

Julian is with Lee Salmon Long, an Auckland based litigation firm that specialises in commercial disputes. He has extensive experience in commercial, corporate and trade practices litigation, and acts for a range of clients in disputes before courts, regulatory panels, arbitral tribunals and in mediations. Julian has been a contributing editor to Butterworth's publication, Cross on Evidence.

CONTENTS

RECENT CASELAW – LESSONS FROM A LITIGATOR’S PERSPECTIVE.....	1
MR. HAGHI AND HIS PROPERTY IN FANSHAWE STREET – EQUITY IN A COMMERCIAL CONTEXT, AN OPPORTUNITY LOST.....	2
KEY MESSAGES	5
MR. KUMAR AND THE PROPERTY IN QUEENSTOWN	6
WHAT WAS AT ISSUE?.....	6
THE INVESTOR’S FORUM...SOUNDS A BIT SUSS, AND YES, IT WAS... ..	7
WHAT HAPPENED IN THE HIGH COURT?.....	8
THE COURT OF APPEAL MISSES THE FOREST FOR THE TREES.....	8
THE SUPREME COURT SEES THE TREES AND CONSIDERS THEIR PLACE IN THE FOREST	9
JUSTICE WILLIAM YOUNG THROWS STATION A LIFELINE	9
BACK TO THE SUPREME COURT FOR A RECALL APPLICATION	9
KEY MESSAGES	10
AN EARTHQUAKE AND AN UNDERINSURED PROPERTY IN CHRISTCHURCH	10
ISSUE	11
CLAUSE.....	11
ACT.....	11
FOR YOUR INFORMATION	12
OTHER FACTS.....	12
<i>Premium Calculation</i>	13
THE VIEWS OF THE MAJORITY (ALL THREE COURT OF APPEAL JUDGES AND THREE SUPREME COURT JUDGES)	13
THE VIEWS OF THE MINORITY	14
WHICH VIEW DO YOU PREFER?.....	15
KEY MESSAGES ABOUT CONTRACTUAL INTERPRETATION	15
<i>CARR V GALLAWAY COOK ALLAN</i> – A VICTORY FOR CONTRACT COMMON SENSE? OR THE BANE OF “ARBITRATION NERDS”?	16
AN OWN-GOAL AND A KNOCK-DOWN CHALLENGE?	17
THE PLOT THICKENS.....	18
KEY MESSAGE: ARBITRATION IS NOT EQUIVALENT TO HIGH COURT LITIGATION – IT’S JUST NOT AND NEVER HAS BEEN.....	18
ARBITRATION AND SUMMARY JUDGMENT: <i>ZURICH V COGNITION</i>	19
THE TENSIONS THAT ARE APPARENT	19
LAW COMMISSION REPORT AND ITS USE AND ABUSE.....	20
ARTICLE 8(1) RECUT	20
KEY MESSAGE.....	20
SHAREHOLDER DISPUTES	21
THE TRIALS AND TRIBULATIONS OF THE SHAREHOLDERS IN GREYMOUTH PETROLEUM.....	21
KEY PRINCIPLES DISTILLED.....	23
AND TO CLOSE, PENALTIES: A NEW DIRECTION FOR AN AGE-OLD DOCTRINE	23
TWO FOR ONE: <i>CAVENDISH V MAKDESSI</i> AND <i>PARKINGEYE V BEAVIS</i>	24
<i>CAVENDISH</i>	25
<i>PARKINGEYE</i>	25
THE ENGLISH SUPREME COURT’S APPROACH	25
WHAT ARE THE RAMIFICATIONS OF <i>CAVENDISH</i> FOR COMMERCIAL PARTIES?	26
WHAT ABOUT FOR CONSUMERS?	27